Combination therapy with anti-TNFs and thiopurines does affect drug metabolite levels but it is not associated with body composition in inflammatory **bowel disease patients:** A cross-sectional study

Kata Judit Szántó dr.¹, Zoltán András Mezei dr.², Diána Kata dr.³, Imre Földesi dr.³, Tibor Nyári dr.⁴, Anna Fábián dr.¹ Mariann Rutka dr.¹, Renáta Bor dr.¹, Anita Bálint dr.¹, Ágnes Milassin dr.¹, Zoltán Szepes dr.¹, Ferenc Nagy dr.¹, Károly Palatka dr.⁵, Klaudia Farkas dr.^{1#}, Tamás Molnár dr.^{1##}

¹University of Szeged, Department of Medicine, Szeged, Csongrád-Csanád, Hungary; ²University of Debrecen Clinical Center, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Debrecen, Hajdú-Bihar, Hungary; ³University of Szeged, Institute of Laboratory Medicine, Szeged, Csongrád-Csanád, Hungary; ⁴University of Szeged, Department of Medical Physics and Informatics, Szeged, Csongrád-Csanád, Hungary; ⁵University of Debrecen, 2nd Department of Internal Medicine, Debrecen, Hajdú-Bihar, Hungary

*Correspondence: molnar.tamas@med.u-szeged.hu (T.M.) *these authors contributed equally

In this cross-sectional, real-life study we have investigated the potential association between 6-thioguanine nucleotide (6-TGN) and anti-TNF [infliximab (IFX), adalimumab (ADA)], anti-drug antibody levels and body composition parameters. Based on our results thiopurine and anti-TNF combination therapy resulted in decreased antibody formation in IFX-treated patients. AZA-ADA-treated patients showed increased anti-TNF drug concentrations, regardless of antibody formation. Drug metabolites did not correlate with body composition parameters.

KEYWORDS: inflammatory bowel disease, azathioprine, anti-TNF, body composition

Az anti-TNF és tiopurin kombinációs terápia befolyásolja a tiopurin-metabolitszintet, azonban nincs összefüggésben a testösszetétel-paraméterekkel – Keresztmetszeti vizsgálat gyulladásos bélbetegek körében

Ezen keresztmetszeti vizsgálat során a 6-tioguanin nukleotid szintje (6-TGN), az anti-TNF (infliximab [IFX], adalimumab [ADA]), a gyógyszerellenes antitestek és a testösszetétel-paraméterek közötti lehetséges összefüggéseket vizsgáltuk. Eredményeink alapján azatioprin és IFX kombinációs terápia esetén alacsonyabb a gyógyszerellenes antitestek szintje. Azatioprin és ADA kombinációs terápia esetén magasabb ADA-szint volt kimutatható, míg a gyógyszerellenes antitestek szintje nem változott. A vizsgált gyógyszerszintek nem mutattak összefüggést a mért testösszetétel-paraméterekkel. KULCSSZAVAK: gyulladásos bélbetegségek, azatioprin, anti-TNF, testösszetétel

Introduction

Patient population The medical management of patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD, Crohn's disease [CD], ulcerative This cross-sectional study included consecutive CD and UC colitis [UC]) is determined by the location, behaviour patients treated with maintenance AZA monotherapy or and activity of the disease. The therapeutic strategy is AZA and anti-TNF combination therapy (AZA-IFX and AZAalso influenced by previous treatment response, pos-ADA combination therapy) at the Department of Medicine, sible side effects, steroid dependence or refractoriness University of Szeged. Activity index-based pair-matched, and the presence of extra-intestinal manifestations or randomly selected control patients receiving anti-TNF monocomplications. For over 50 years, thiopurines, such as therapy were included in the control group. The 6-TGN levels azathioprine (AZA) and 6-mercaptopurine (6-MP) have of outpatients on AZA mono-, or combination therapy were been used for the effective treatment of steroid-demeasured without any change to the prior therapy. Written, pendent IBD patients and that of refractory disease. informed consent was obtained from all participants included Anti-TNF treatment is reserved for steroid-dependent in the study. In Hungary, the application of biological treator steroid- or immunomodulator-refractory patients ment is strictly regulated by the National Health Insurance (1). Several studies have demonstrated the clinical im-Fund. The administration of a thiopurine drug is mandatory portance of therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM), an for at least 3 months prior to the start of anti-TNF treatment, important tool that has been proven to optimise antiexcept for acute, severe flare-ups. Therefore, patients on an-TNF therapy effectively. TDM may help to better underti-TNF monotherapy are usually intolerant to thiopurines or stand and manage unfavourable therapeutic outcomes, they had a severe flare-up before the initiation of anti-TNF which are most commonly associated with immunogetherapy. Moreover, certain comorbidities or clinical situations nicity and/or low drug concentrations during anti-TNF may also lead to the discontinuation of immunomodulatory treatment (2–6). However, the TDM of thiopurines has therapy, which falls under the competence of the attending not been applied in daily clinical practice despite the physician. The dosing of thiopurines and anti-TNF agents is long-term use of these drugs. Clinical data suggest that based on the international guidelines, Hungarian financial there is a synergistic relationship between AZA and inprotocols, patients' tolerance, gastroenterologists' decision fliximab (IFX). The underlying mechanisms of this effecand the risk stratification of patients for a more aggressive tive combination include a simultaneous increase in the disease phenotype. IFX and ADA maintenance therapies therapeutic effectiveness and a decrease in the rate of were defined as 14-plus weeks after initiating the therapy, secondary loss of response associated with immunogewith no interval between maintenance infusions >8 weeks nicity and the formation of antibodies against anti-TNF for IFX and 2 weeks for ADA. Clinical data were collected: agents (7–9). However, data regarding the influence of blood samples were also obtained to determine thiopurine thiopurines on the pharmacokinetics of anti-TNF therametabolites, anti-TNF trough levels and antibody concentrapy, particularly that of adalimumab (ADA), is limited. tions. The blood samples were stored at -20° C. Although body composition analysis may change our perspective on AZA dosing, data regarding the influ-Clinical evaluation ence of patients' nutritional status on thiopurine me-Treatment outcomes were assessed during sampling and tabolism is limited and controversial. Considering the they were classified as clinical remission according to complex metabolic pathways of these drugs, their reladisease activity scores (CDAI <150 or pMayo score ≤ 2) (10, tively narrow therapeutic window and the differences in 11). The following independent variables were considetolerance among patients, optimal dosing may be diffired: demographics, disease type and treatment duration, cult to achieve. We aimed to measure serum anti-TNF disease activity scores (pMayo, CDAI), BMI and body comand 6-thioguanine nucleotide (6-TGN) levels in our IBD position parameters. Furthermore, anti-TNF trough level, patients receiving maintenance anti-TNF and/or thiopuanti-TNF antibody and 6-TGN levels were obtained. For rine therapy to investigate how AZA and anti-TNF comstatistical analysis, 6-TGN and anti-TNF trough levels were bination therapy affect serum drug and AZA metabolite classified as subtherapeutic, therapeutic or supratherapelevels. Similarly to most IBD centres we did not have the utic. The therapeutic range was stratified according to litepossibility to measure AZA metabolite level before this rature data, 235-450 pmol/8×108 RBC for 6-TGN, 3-8 µg/ study. Therefore, we also aimed to correlate 6-TGN and ml for IFX and 5–12 μ g/mL for ADA (2, 12–14). anti-TNF levels with the outcome of our routinely used, Measurement of thiopurine metabolite symptom-based therapeutic optimalisation. We wanted to find out how many patients who received thioconcentration purine treatment with the conventional administration 6-TGN levels were measured using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (15). Whole blood samples antiwould present subtherapeutic 6-TGN levels and require dose escalation. Furthermore, we aimed to evaluate the coagulated with sodium heparin were used. 6-TGN and correlation between 6-TGN blood levels and anti-TNF 6-MMP were separated on Agilent 1200 HPLC. Thiopurine trough levels and bodyweight, body-surface area and metabolites were determined using UV detectors at 341 nm different body composition parameters. for 6-TGN. 6-MMP concentration could not be measured.

Materials and methods

Measurement of anti-TNF and antidrug antibody concentration

The serum IFX (cat. No.: TR-Q-INFLIXIv2) and ADA (cat. No.: TR-ADAv1) concentrations were determined using the ELISA as per the manufacturer's protocol (Matriks Biotek Laboratories, Ankara, Turkey). The sensitivity of the IFX and ADA assays was 30 ng/mL and 10 ng/mL, respec-

tively. The intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation for both the assays were <20%. The levels of antibodies for IFX (cat. No.: TR-ATIv5) and ADA (cat. No.: TR-AADAv2) in the serum was determined using ELISA assay as per the manufacturer's protocol (Matriks Biotek Laboratories, Ankara, Turkey). The sensitivity of the anti-IFX and anti-ADA kits was 5 ng/mL and <30% ng/mL, respectively. The

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients receiving AZA or AZA-anti-TNF combination or anti-TNF monotherapy (control group)

Number of patients 114 49 Female/male (%) 56 (49.1) / 58 (50.9) 23 (47) /26 (53) Median age (IQR), yr 36.5 (18) 40 (22) CD/UC/IBOU (%) 78 (68.4) / 55 (50.7) / 10.9) 34 (69) / 15 (31) Median disease duration, yr, (IQR) 9.5 (11.5) 11 (9) Mean CDAI (SD) 84 (71) 82 (57) Mean p Mayo (SD) 1 (17) 13 (15) Crothn's disease henotype (%) - - -L1 19 (24.3) 7 (20.6) -L2 32 (41.0) 11 (32.3) -L3 23 (29.5) 16 (47.0) -L4 5 (64.4) 0 (0) -B2 20 (25.6) 10 (29.4) -B2 20 (25.6) 10 (29.4) -B2 20 (25.6) 10 (29.4) -E3 17 (48.6) 7 (46.6) -E2 15 (42.8) 7 (46.6) -E3 17 (48.6) 7 (46.6) -E3 17 (48.6) 21 (5.3) Bodywight based AZA doses (%) 13 (35.3) -		Patients on AZA monotherapy or AZA-anti-TNF combination therapy	Patients on anti-TNF monotherapy
Median age (IQF), yr 36.5 (18) 40 (22) CD/UC/IBD-U (%) 78 (88.4) / 35 (30.7) / 1 (0.9) 34 (69) / 15 (31) Median disease duration, yr, (IQF) 9.5 (11.5) 11 (9) Mean CDAI (SD) 84 (71) 82 (57) Mean pMayo (SD) 1 (1.7) 1.3 (1.5) Crohn's disease phenotype (%) 7 (20.6) 11 (32.3) - L1 19 (24.3) 7 (20.6) - L2 32 (41.0) 11 (32.3) - L3 23 (29.5) 16 (47.0) - L4 5 (6.4) 0 (0) - B1 44 (56.4) 10 (29.4) - B2 20 (25.6) 10 (29.4) - B3 12 (15.4) 10 (29.4) - B3 12 (15.4) 7 (46.6) - E1 3 (8.6) 1 (6.6) - E2 15 (42.8) 7 (46.6) Perianal involvement (%) 9 (11.5) 8 (23.5) Bodyweight based AZA doses (%) NA . - 1.4 mg/kg 22 (19.3) . - 1.5 - 1.9 mg/kg 48 (42.1) .	Number of patients	114	49
CD/UC/IBD-U (%) 78 (68.4) / 35 (30.7) / 1 (0.9) 34 (69) / 15 (31) Median disease duration, yr, (IQR) 9.5 (11.5) 11 (9) Mean p Mayo (SD) 11 (7) 82 (57) Mean p Mayo (SD) 11 (1.7) 1.3 (1.5) Crohn's disease phenotype (%) 7 (20.6) 1 - L1 19 (24.3) 7 (20.6) - L2 32 (41.0) 11 (32.3) - L3 23 (29.5) 16 (47.0) - L4 5 (6.4) 0 (0) - B1 44 (56.4) 14 (41.2) - B2 20 (25.6) 10 (29.4) - B3 12 (15.4) 10 (29.4) - E1 3 (8.6) 1 (6.6) - E2 15 (42.8) 7 (46.6) - E3 17 (48.6) 7 (46.6) Perianal involvement (%) 9 (11.5) 8 (23.5) Bodyweight based AZA doses (%) NA -<<1.4 mg/kg	Female/male (%)	56 (49.1) / 58 (50.9)	23 (47) /26 (53)
Median disease duration, yr, (IQR) 9.5 (11.5) 11 (9) Mean CDAI (SD) 84 (71) 82 (57) Mean p Mayo (SD) 1 (1.7) 1.3 (1.5) Crohn's disease phenotype (%) 7 (20.6) 1 - L1 19 (24.3) 7 (20.6) - L2 32 (29.5) 16 (47.0) - L3 23 (29.5) 16 (47.0) - L4 5 (6.4) 0 (0) - B1 44 (56.4) 14 (41.2) - B2 20 (25.6) 10 (29.4) - B3 12 (15.4) 10 (29.4) - E1 3 (8.6) 1 (6.6) - E2 15 (42.8) 7 (46.6) - E3 17 (48.6) 7 (46.6) Perianal involvement (%) 9 (1.5) 8 (23.5) Bodyweight based AZA doses (%) NA - - <1.4 mg/kg 22 (19.3) NA - <2.5 mg/kg 38 (33.3) - - 2.5 mg/kg 38 (33.3) - - Simple dose (IFX/ADA) 18 (64.3%) / 11 (52.4%) 27 (96.4%) / 18 (85.7%) -	Median age (IQR), yr	36.5 (18)	40 (22)
Mean CDAI (SD) 84 (71) 82 (57) Mean p Mayo (SD) 1 (1.7) 1.3 (1.5) Crohn's disease phenotype (%) - - - L1 19 (24.3) 7 (20.6) - L2 32 (41.0) 11 (32.3) - L3 23 (29.5) 16 (47.0) - L4 5 (6.4) 0 (0) - B1 44 (56.4) 14 (41.2) - B2 20 (25.6) 10 (29.4) - B3 10 (29.4) 10 (29.4) - E1 3 (8.6) 1 (6.6) - E2 15 (42.8) 7 (46.6) - E3 17 (48.6) 7 (46.6) Perianal involvement (%) 9 (11.5) 8 (23.5) Bodyweight based AZA doses (%) NA -<1.4 mg/kg	CD/UC/IBD-U (%)	78 (68.4) / 35 (30.7) / 1 (0.9)	34 (69) /15 (31)
Mean p Mayo (SD) 1 (1.7) 1.3 (1.5) Crohn's disease phenotype (%) - L1 19 (24.3) 7 (20.6) - L2 32 (41.0) 11 (32.3) - L3 23 (29.5) 16 (47.0) - L4 5 (6.4) 0 (0) - B1 44 (56.4) 14 (41.2) - B2 20 (25.6) 10 (29.4) - B3 12 (15.4) 10 (29.4) - E1 3 (8.6) 1 (6.6) - E2 15 (42.8) 7 (46.6) - E3 17 (48.6) 7 (46.6) Perianal involvement (%) 9 (11.5) 8 (23.5) Bodyweight based AZA doses (%) NA - <1.4 mg/kg	Median disease duration, yr, (IQR)	9.5 (11.5)	11 (9)
Crohn's disease phenotype (%) - L1 19 (24.3) 7 (20.6) - L2 32 (41.0) 11 (32.3) - L3 23 (29.5) 16 (47.0) - L4 5 (6.4) 0 (0) - B1 44 (56.4) 14 (41.2) - B2 20 (25.6) 10 (29.4) - B3 12 (15.4) 10 (29.4) Ulcerative colitis phenotype (%) - E1 3 (8.6) 1 (6.6) - E2 15 (42.8) 7 (46.6) - E3 17 (48.6) 7 (46.6) Perianal involvement (%) 9 (11.5) 8 (23.5) Bodyweight based AZA doses (%) NA - <1.4 mg/kg	Mean CDAI (SD)	84 (71)	82 (57)
L1 19 (24.3) 7 (20.6) L2 32 (41.0) 11 (32.3) L3 23 (29.5) 16 (47.0) L4 5 (6.4) 0 (0) B1 44 (56.4) 14 (41.2) B2 20 (25.6) 10 (29.4) B3 12 (15.4) 10 (29.4) Ulcerative colitis phenotype (%) - - - E1 3 (8.6) 1 (6.6) - E2 15 (42.8) 7 (46.6) - E3 17 (48.6) 7 (46.6) Perianal involvement (%) 9 (11.5) 8 (23.5) Bodyweight based AZA doses (%) NA - - <1.4 mg/kg	Mean p Mayo (SD)	1 (1.7)	1.3 (1.5)
- L2 32 (41.0) 11 (32.3) - L3 23 (29.5) 16 (47.0) - L4 5 (6.4) 0 (0) - B1 44 (56.4) 14 (41.2) - B2 20 (25.6) 10 (29.4) - B3 12 (15.4) 10 (29.4) - B3 12 (15.4) 10 (29.4) - E1 3 (8.6) 1 (6.6) - E2 15 (42.8) 7 (46.6) - E3 17 (48.6) 7 (46.6) Perianal involvement (%) 9 (11.5) 8 (23.5) Bodyweight based AZA doses (%) NA - - <1.4 mg/kg	Crohn's disease phenotype (%)		
- L3 23 (29.5) 16 (47.0) - L4 5 (6.4) 0 (0) - B1 44 (56.4) 14 (41.2) - B2 20 (25.6) 10 (29.4) - B3 12 (15.4) 10 (29.4) - B3 12 (15.4) 10 (29.4) Ulcerative colitis phenotype (%) - - - E1 3 (8.6) 1 (6.6) - E2 15 (42.8) 7 (46.6) - E3 17 (48.6) 7 (46.6) Perianal involvement (%) 9 (11.5) 8 (23.5) Bodyweight based AZA doses (%) NA - - <1.4 mg/kg	- L1	19 (24.3)	7 (20.6)
- L4 5 (6.4) 0 (0) - B1 44 (56.4) 14 (41.2) - B2 20 (25.6) 10 (29.4) - B3 12 (15.4) 10 (29.4) Ulcerative colitis phenotype (%) - - - E1 3 (8.6) 1 (6.6) - E2 15 (42.8) 7 (46.6) - E3 17 (48.6) 7 (46.6) Perianal involvement (%) 9 (11.5) 8 (23.5) Bodyweight based AZA doses (%) NA - - <1.4 mg/kg	- L2	32 (41.0)	11 (32.3)
- B1 44 (56.4) 14 (41.2) - B2 20 (25.6) 10 (29.4) - B3 12 (15.4) 10 (29.4) Ulcerative colitis phenotype (%) 1 6.6) - E1 3 (8.6) 1 (6.6) - E2 15 (42.8) 7 (46.6) - E3 17 (48.6) 7 (46.6) Perianal involvement (%) 9 (11.5) 8 (23.5) Bodyweight based AZA doses (%) NA - <1.4 mg/kg	- L3	23 (29.5)	16 (47.0)
- B2 20 (25.6) 10 (29.4) - B3 12 (15.4) 10 (29.4) Ulcerative colitis phenotype (%) - E1 3 (8.6) 1 (6.6) - E2 15 (42.8) 7 (46.6) - E3 17 (48.6) 7 (46.6) Perianal involvement (%) 9 (11.5) 8 (23.5) Bodyweight based AZA doses (%) NA - <1.4 mg/kg	- L4	5 (6.4)	O (O)
- B3 12 (15.4) 10 (29.4) Ulcerative colitis phenotype (%)	- B1	44 (56.4)	14 (41.2)
Ulcerative colitis phenotype (%) - E1 3 (8.6) 1 (6.6) - E2 15 (42.8) 7 (46.6) - E3 17 (48.6) 7 (46.6) Perianal involvement (%) 9 (11.5) 8 (23.5) Bodyweight based AZA doses (%) NA - <1.4 mg/kg	- B2	20 (25.6)	10 (29.4)
- E1 3 (8.6) 1 (6.6) - E2 15 (42.8) 7 (46.6) - E3 17 (48.6) 7 (46.6) Perianal involvement (%) 9 (11.5) 8 (23.5) Bodyweight based AZA doses (%) NA - <1.4 mg/kg	- B3	12 (15.4)	10 (29.4)
- E2 15 (42.8) 7 (46.6) - E3 17 (48.6) 7 (46.6) Perianal involvement (%) 9 (11.5) 8 (23.5) Bodyweight based AZA doses (%) NA - <1.4 mg/kg	Ulcerative colitis phenotype (%)		
- E3 17 (48.6) 7 (46.6) Perianal involvement (%) 9 (11.5) 8 (23.5) Bodyweight based AZA doses (%) NA - <1.4 mg/kg	- E1	3 (8.6)	1 (6.6)
Perianal involvement (%) 9 (11.5) 8 (23.5) Bodyweight based AZA doses (%) NA - <1.4 mg/kg	- E2	15 (42.8)	7 (46.6)
Bodyweight based AZA doses (%) NA - <1.4 mg/kg	- E3	17 (48.6)	7 (46.6)
- <1.4 mg/kg	Perianal involvement (%)	9 (11.5)	8 (23.5)
- 1.5–1.9 mg/kg 48 (42.1) - 2–2.5 mg/kg 38 (33.3) - >2.5 mg/kg 6 (5.3) Dosage of IFX/ADA (person) (%) 27 (96.4%) / 18 (85.7%) - simple dose (IFX/ADA) 18 (64.3%) / 11 (52.4%) 27 (96.4%) / 18 (85.7%) - escalated dose (IFX/ADA) 10 (35.7%) / 10 (47.6%) 1 (3.6%) / 3 (14.3%) Median time on AZA, yr, (IQR) - AZA monotherapy: 4 (7) NA - Combination therapy: 5 (7) NA 10 (35.2%) 1 (0.5–2.3) Concomitant 5-ASA (%) 23 (20.2) 7 (14.3) 1 (0.5–2.3) Concomitant corticosteroid (%): - - 5 (5.3) 3 (6.1) - budesonide per os 6 (5.3) 3 (6.1) 3 (6.1) 3 (6.1) - methylprednisolone 6 (5.3) 2 (4.1) 3 (6.1) 3 (6.1)	Bodyweight based AZA doses (%)		NA
- 22.5 mg/kg 38 (33.3) - >2.5 mg/kg 6 (5.3) Dosage of IFX/ADA (person) (%) - - simple dose (IFX/ADA) 18 (64.3%) / 11 (52.4%) 27 (96.4%) / 18 (85.7%) - escalated dose (IFX/ADA) 10 (35.7%) / 10 (47.6%) 1 (3.6%) / 3 (14.3%) Median time on AZA, yr, (IQR) - AZA monotherapy: 4 (7) NA - Combination therapy: 5 (7) NA - Combination therapy: 5 (7) Median time on anti-TNF, yr, (IQR) 2 (1-3) 1 (0.5-2.3) Concomitant 5-ASA (%) 23 (20.2) 7 (14.3) Concomitant corticosteroid (%): - - - budesonide per os 6 (5.3) 3 (6.1) - methylprednisolone 6 (5.3) 2 (4.1)	- <1.4 mg/kg	22 (19.3)	
->2.5 mg/kg 6 (5.3) Dosage of IFX/ADA (person) (%) - - simple dose (IFX/ADA) 18 (64.3%) / 11 (52.4%) 27 (96.4%) / 18 (85.7%) - escalated dose (IFX/ADA) 10 (35.7%) / 10 (47.6%) 1 (3.6%) / 3 (14.3%) Median time on AZA, yr, (IQR) - AZA monotherapy: 4 (7) NA - Combination therapy: 5 (7) - Combination therapy: 5 (7) Median time on anti-TNF, yr, (IQR) 2 (1–3) 1 (0.5–2.3) Concomitant 5-ASA (%) 23 (20.2) 7 (14.3) Concomitant corticosteroid (%): - - - budesonide per os 6 (5.3) 3 (6.1) - methylprednisolone 6 (5.3) 2 (4.1)	- 1.5–1.9 mg/kg	48 (42.1)	
Dosage of IFX/ADA (person) (%) 18 (64.3%) / 11 (52.4%) 27 (96.4%) / 18 (85.7%) - simple dose (IFX/ADA) 10 (35.7%) / 10 (47.6%) 1 (3.6%) / 3 (14.3%) Median time on AZA, yr, (IQR) - AZA monotherapy: 4 (7) NA - Combination therapy: 5 (7) NA Median time on anti-TNF, yr, (IQR) 2 (1–3) 1 (0.5–2.3) Concomitant 5-ASA (%) 23 (20.2) 7 (14.3) Concomitant corticosteroid (%): - 3 (6.1) - budesonide per os 6 (5.3) 3 (6.1) - methylprednisolone 6 (5.3) 2 (4.1)	- 2–2.5 mg/kg	38 (33.3)	
- simple dose (IFX/ADA) 18 (64.3%) / 11 (52.4%) 27 (96.4%) / 18 (85.7%) - escalated dose (IFX/ADA) 10 (35.7%) / 10 (47.6%) 1 (3.6%) / 3 (14.3%) Median time on AZA, yr, (IQR) - AZA monotherapy: 4 (7) NA - Combination therapy: 5 (7) NA Median time on anti-TNF, yr, (IQR) 2 (1–3) 1 (0.5–2.3) Concomitant 5-ASA (%) 23 (20.2) 7 (14.3) Concomitant corticosteroid (%): - - - budesonide per os 6 (5.3) 3 (6.1) - methylprednisolone 6 (5.3) 2 (4.1)	- >2.5 mg/kg	6 (5.3)	
- escalated dose (IFX/ADA) 10 (35.7%) / 10 (47.6%) 1 (3.6%) / 3 (14.3%) Median time on AZA, yr, (IQR) - AZA monotherapy: 4 (7) NA - Combination therapy: 5 (7) - Combination therapy: 5 (7) 1 (0.5–2.3) Median time on anti-TNF, yr, (IQR) 2 (1–3) 1 (0.5–2.3) Concomitant 5-ASA (%) 23 (20.2) 7 (14.3) Concomitant corticosteroid (%): - - - budesonide per os 6 (5.3) 3 (6.1) - methylprednisolone 6 (5.3) 2 (4.1)	Dosage of IFX/ADA (person) (%)		
Median time on AZA, yr, (IQR) - AZA monotherapy: 4 (7) NA - Combination therapy: 5 (7) - Combination therapy: 5 (7) Median time on anti-TNF, yr, (IQR) 2 (1-3) 1 (0.5-2.3) Concomitant 5-ASA (%) 23 (20.2) 7 (14.3) Concomitant corticosteroid (%): - - - budesonide per os 6 (5.3) 3 (6.1) - methylprednisolone 6 (5.3) 2 (4.1)	- simple dose (IFX/ADA)	18 (64.3%) / 11 (52.4%)	27 (96.4%) / 18 (85.7%)
- Combination therapy: 5 (7) Median time on anti-TNF, yr, (IQR) 2 (1–3) 1 (0.5–2.3) Concomitant 5-ASA (%) 23 (20.2) 7 (14.3) Concomitant corticosteroid (%): - - - budesonide per os 6 (5.3) 3 (6.1) - methylprednisolone 6 (5.3) 2 (4.1)	- escalated dose (IFX/ADA)	10 (35.7%) / 10 (47.6%)	1 (3.6%) / 3 (14.3%)
Median time on anti-TNF, yr, (IQR) 2 (1–3) 1 (0.5–2.3) Concomitant 5-ASA (%) 23 (20.2) 7 (14.3) Concomitant corticosteroid (%): 5000000000000000000000000000000000000	Median time on AZA, yr, (IQR)	- AZA monotherapy: 4 (7)	NA
Concomitant 5-ASA (%) 23 (20.2) 7 (14.3) Concomitant corticosteroid (%): 3 (6.1) - budesonide per os 6 (5.3) 3 (6.1) 2 (4.1)		- Combination therapy: 5 (7)	
Concomitant corticosteroid (%): 6 (5.3) 3 (6.1) - methylprednisolone 6 (5.3) 2 (4.1)	Median time on anti-TNF, yr, (IQR)	2 (1–3)	1 (0.5–2.3)
- budesonide per os 6 (5.3) 3 (6.1) - methylprednisolone 6 (5.3) 2 (4.1)	Concomitant 5-ASA (%)	23 (20.2)	7 (14.3)
- methylprednisolone 6 (5.3) 2 (4.1)	Concomitant corticosteroid (%):		
	- budesonide per os	6 (5.3)	3 (6.1)
- local 10 (8.7) 0 (0)	- methylprednisolone	6 (5.3)	2 (4.1)
	- local	10 (8.7)	O (O)

intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation of both assavs were <15%. Anti-TNF antibody concentrations were assessed in all patients receiving anti-TNF therapy.

Body composition analysis

Bioelectrical impedance analysis was performed using the InBody 770[®] analyser. This method measures total body water, extra- and intracellular water, skeletal muscle mass, body and visceral fat mass, percent body fat, protein and mineral levels, bone mineral content and body mass index (BMI). Normal BMI was assumed at 18.5–25 kg/m², and the body surface area was calculated using the Mosteller formula. The Mosteller formula is the following:

$\sqrt{([height (cm) \times weight (kg)]/3600)}$

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using STATA 9.0 and SPSS. Continuous variables are presented as mean (minimum-maximum) values, while categorical variables are presented as counts (percentages). Continuous variables of two groups were compared with the Mann-Whitney Utest; in case of more than two groups the Chi-squared test was used, and correlations between continuous variables were investigated with the Spearman correlation.

Ethical approval

This study was approved by the Human Investigation Review Board of the University of Szeged Faculty of Medicine Albert Szent-Györgyi Clinical Centre (license number: 4126). The study conforms to the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants gave an informed, written consent prior to their inclusion in the study.

Results

The IFX concentration did not differ between the AZA-IFX combination therapy and the IFX monotherapy groups (10.4 [1.2–48.7] µmol/mL vs. 8 [0–31.7] µmol/mL [P=0.103]; Fig. 2). However, the proportion of patients with subtherapeutic IFX concentrations was lower in the combination therapy group compared to the IFX monotherapy group (17.8% vs. 53.6%; P=0.011). The concentration of ADA was higher in patients receiving combination therapy than in those receiving ADA monotherapy (16.8 [0-48.6] µmol/mL vs. 6.5 [0-16.6] µmol/mL, P=0.018; Fig. 2). The proportion of patients with subtherapeutic ADA levels in the monotherapy and combination therapy groups was 52.4% and 14.2%, respectively (P=0.02). 6-TGN concentrations and IFX or ADA trough levels showed no correlation (r = -0.06 and 0.09, respectively). An escalated dose of IFX was applied in 35.7% of AZA-IFX treated and 3.6% of IFX monotherapy treated patients (P=0.005). Escalated ADA was used in 47.6% of AZA-ADA and 14.3% of ADA treated patients (P=0.043). However, we did not find any significant differences in mean drug levels. In case of IFX monotherapy, only one patient received escalated IFX therapy, and we could not measure IFX drug level. In case of ADA monotherapy mean ADA concentration was 6.34 µg/mL (SD: 4.98) with normal

Demographic data In total, 163 consecutive IBD patients were enrolled. The baseline characteristics of these patients are shown in Table 1. Among the enrolled patients, 65 received AZA monotherapy and 49 received AZA and anti-TNF combination therapy (28 received IFX and 21 received ADA). Forty-nine activity index-based pair-matched control patients receiving anti-TNF monotherapy were included in the control group. Among the enrolled patients 12.3% had active disease at the time of enrolment. 6-TGN concentration Forty-four point seven % of all enrolled patients had therapeutic 6-TGN levels with the conventional AZA dosage, 19.3% had sub-, and 36% had supratherapeutic 6-TGN levels. In case of AZA monotherapy and AZA-anti-TNF combination therapy 38.5% and 51% had therapeutic 6-TGN levels, 13.8% and 26.5% had subtherapeutic, while 47.7% and 22.4% had supratherapeutic 6-TGN levels (P=0.017). The 6-TGN concentration was found to be significantly lower in patients receiving AZA-anti-TNF combination therapy (397 [117–1250] pmol/8×10⁸ RBC), compared to



Fig. 1. Body weight-based AZA doses separately

AZA monotherapy (619.3 [128-3875] pmol/8×10⁸ RBC; P=0.003). Mean bodyweight-based AZA doses were 1.7 mg/kg (0.3-3.2) in patients treated with AZA monotherapy and 1.8 mg/kg (0.4–3.3) in patients receiving AZA-anti-TNF combination therapy (P=0.1). The bodyweight-based AZA doses in both patient groups are presented in Fig. 1. Eighty-seven point eight % of the patients in the combination group and 87.7% of the patients in the AZA monotherapy group were in sustained remission. The mean concentration of 6-TGN did not differ between patients receiving AZA-IFX and AZA-ADA combination therapies (385 [117-1250] pmol/8×108 RBC and 412.8 [122-1088] pmol/8×10⁸ RBC), respectively (P=0.77).

Anti-TNF trough levels

Fig. 2. Mean IFX and ADA concentrations in patients receiving mono or combo therapy (P=0.376; P=0.007, respectively)



ADA dose, and among those receiving escalated ADA therapy mean ADA concentration was 7.66 µg/mL (SD: 7.92), (P=0.803). In case of AZA-IFX combination therapy mean IFX concentration was 11.46 µg/mL (SD: 11.94) with normal IFX dose, and mean IFX concentration was 8.46 µg/mL (SD: 7.62) with escalated IFX dose (P=0.425). In case of AZA-ADA combination therapy mean ADA concentration was 10.72 µg/mL (SD: 8.07) with normal ADA dose, and mean ADA concentration was 23.58 µg/mL (SD: 18.38) with escalated ADA dose (P=0.064).

Fig. 3. Proportion of patients with detectable antibody levels



Anti-drug antibody level

On examining the proportion of patients with detectable antibody levels regarding the type of anti-TNF agent, antibody positivity was detected in 7.1% vs. 42.8% of patients receiving AZA-IFX combination therapy and IFX monotherapy (P=0.004) (Fig. 3). Among ADA-treated patients, antibody positivity was found in 38.1% of patients receiving combination therapy and in 47.6% of those receiving monotherapy (P=0.756) (Fig. 3). Among patients receiving AZA-anti-TNF combination therapy, no difference in 6-TGN concentrations was found between those that developed anti-drug antibodies and those who did not (525 [122-1250] pmol/8×108 RBC and 364 [117-738] pmol/8×108 RBC; P=0.5).

Correlation with body composition parameters The body composition was determined in 114 patients. The BMI was low in 5.2% of the patients, normal in 44.7% and high in 49.1%. We examined the potential correlation of 6-TGN levels with bodyweight-based AZA dose, body surface area-based AZA dose, and different body composition parameters (Table 2.). A weak correlation was found between 6-TGN levels and bodyweight-based AZA doses (r=0.25; P=0.007) as well as body surface area-based AZA doses (r=0.22; P=0.017). However, none of the examined parameters correlated with 6-TGN levels. The body composition of 48 patients treated with AZA-anti-TNF com-

bination therapy was determined. No correlations were the monotherapy of either drug has also been shown in found between the investigated body composition paralarge, clinical trials (19–21). However, in case of ADA, this meters and anti-TNF trough levels (Table 2.). beneficial effect is questionable (22). Our results correspond with a retrospective study evaluating the influence Discussion of immunomodulators on anti-TNF trough levels and antibody formation, which found no difference in antibody In the present observational, cross-sectional study, we formation between ADA monotherapy and ADA-immunoevaluated 6-TGN and anti-TNF trough levels in 163 consemodulator combination therapy. However, in case of IFX, cutive IBD patients receiving maintenance thiopurine moantibody formation was found to be significantly lower in notherapy or combined thiopurine and anti-TNF therapy. patients receiving combination therapy compared to IFX 6-TGN concentrations mainly depend on individual enmonotherapy (20). These results are consistent with previzymatic variations. Nevertheless, many other factors may ous studies by Holstrom et al. and Karmiris et al., which deinfluence the concentration, including age- and ethnicitymonstrated that immunomodulators did not reduce the related difference in AZA metabolism, as well as concurformation of antibodies against ADA (23, 24). A prospecrent drug therapy (16). The mean 6-TGN concentration tive, randomized trial found that both ADA monotherapy was significantly lower in patients receiving AZA-anti-TNF and AZA-ADA combination therapy have a similar effect combination vs. AZA monotherapy; however, this did not on maintaining clinical remission in CD. However, the affect the rate of clinical remission, and the mean dose AZA-ADA combination therapy resulted in higher rates of of AZA did not differ in the two groups. The exact reason endoscopic improvement at week 26th (25). In our cohort, for the lower 6-TGN level in patients treated with combithe mean ADA concentration was significantly higher for ned therapy is not clear; we assume that the anti-TNF efthe combination therapy, although a higher proportion fect might contribute to lower 6-TGN levels. However, no of AZA-ADA treated patients received an escalated dose correlation was found between 6-TGN concentrations and (47.6%) compared to ADA monotherapy patients (14.3%). IFX or ADA concentrations. The previously mentioned prospective, randomized tri-Currently, AZA monotherapy is recommended to be intal reported a trend toward higher ADA concentration in roduced gradually, generally by applying weight-based the AZA-ADA combination therapy group (25). No such doses. The therapeutic effectiveness of AZA correlates difference was found regarding IFX therapy, despite the with 6-TGN levels of 235-450 pmol/8×108 RBC (17, 18). higher proportion of AZA-IFX combination therapy pa-Current results suggest that there is only a weak correlatients receiving escalated IFX therapy (35.7%) compared tion between the level of 6-TGN and bodyweight-based to IFX monotherapy patients (3.6%); the favourable effect AZA dose. However, bodyweight-based AZA did not correof the AZA-IFX combination was possibly caused by declate with other body composition parameters, except for reased antibody formation. Among patients treated with either IFX or ADA monotherapy, a significantly higher body surface area. Almost forty-five % of the enrolled patients had therapeutic 6-TGN levels with the conventional proportion developed subtherapeutic anti-TNF drug con-AZA dosage, despite the fact that most patients receiving centrations than those receiving AZA and anti-TNF combi-AZA, received an AZA dose less, than 2 mg/kg. Moreover, nation therapy.

more than two thirds of the AZA monotherapy and AZA-Because thiopurine and IFX doses are based on bodyanti-TNF combination therapy patients were in remission. weight, it is still unclear whether there is an association The superiority of the AZA-IFX combination therapy to between the metabolism of thiopurine and IFX and body

Table 2. Correlation between different body composition parameters ("r" refers to Spearman's rho)

IFX trough levels (µg/mL)	ADA trough levels (µg/mL)	6-TGN levels (pmol/8×10 ⁸ RBC)
0.103	-0.138	-0.166
0.096	-0.028	-0.049
0.062	-0.078	-0.179
-0.142	0.040	-0.146
-0.131	0.062	-0.137
-0.118	0.001	-0.161
-0.138	0.040	-0.136
-0.152	0.020	-0.134
-0.138	0.062	-0.136
-0.007	-0.129	-0.071
0.062	-0.158	-0.023
-0.070	-0.121	-0.096
	(μg/mL) 0.103 0.096 0.062 -0.142 -0.131 -0.138 -0.152 -0.138 -0.152 -0.138 -0.152 -0.138 -0.007 0.062	(μg/mL)(μg/mL)0.103-0.1380.096-0.0280.062-0.078-0.1420.040-0.1310.062-0.1380.001-0.1380.040-0.1520.020-0.1380.062-0.1290.062-0.158-0.158

Eredeti közlemény / Original paper

composition. We hypothesized that body mass and composition may influence anti-TNF and 6-TGN levels. No correlations were found between 6-TGN levels or anti-TNF trough levels and bodyweight, body surface area, total body water, intra- or extracellular water, protein, skeletal muscle mass and body fat mass. A weak correlation was found between 6-TGN levels and the bodyweight-based and body surface area-based AZA doses. The effectiveness and safety of thiopurines' is known to depend on individual enzymatic variations. We could not confirm the influence of body composition.

The present study has some limitations that should be mentioned. First of all, this is a non-randomised, crosssectional study enrolling patients already on maintenance anti-TNF therapy and/or AZA, which could have resulted in a selection bias. Some steps of the thiopurine metabolism were not investigated, including thiopurine S-methyl transferase genotyping, nucleoside diphosphate-linked moiety X motif 15 genotyping, and 6-MMP concentrations. However, the enrolled patients receiving AZA mono-, or combination therapy have no known thiopurine intolerance. The proportion of patients on escalated doses differed between patients receiving anti-TNF monotherapy and anti-TNF and thiopurine combination therapy. Although this did not affect the concentration of IFX between the two groups, higher ADA concentrations could be the consequence of the different proportion of patients on escalated ADA doses. Nevertheless, the proportion of dose escalation in this cohort follows the international trends and indicated only in case of loss of response. Sample and group sizes are too small to make strong conclusions. On the other hand, our study investigates data from real-life and adds to the existing body of information about AZA and ADA combination therapy.

References

1. Harbord M, Eliakim R, Bettenworth D, Karmiris K, Katsanos K, Kopylov U et al. Third European Evidence-based Consensus on Diagnosis and Management of Ulcerative Colitis. Part 2: Current Management. J Crohns Colitis 2017; 11: 769–784. https://doi.org/10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjx009

2. Papamichael K, Cheifetz AS. Use of anti-TNF drug levels to optimise patient management. Frontline Gastroenterol 2016; 7: 289–300. https://doi.org/10.1136/flgastro-2016-100685

- 3. Steenholdt C, Brynskov J, Thomsen OO, Munck LK, Fallingborg J, Christensen LA et al. Individualised therapy is more cost-effective than dose intensification in patients with Crohn's disease who lose response to anti-TNF treatment: a randomised, controlled trial. Gut 2014; 63: 919–27. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2013-305279
- Vande Casteele N, Ferrante M, Van Assche G, Ballett V, Compernolle G, Van Steen K et al. Trough concentrations of infliximab guide dosing for patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Gastroenterology 2015; 148: 1320–9.e3. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2015.02.031
 Papamichael K, Juncadella A, Wong D, Rakowsky S, Sattler LA, Camp-
- Papamichael K, Juncadella A, Wong D, Rakowsky S, Sattler LA, Campbell JP et al. Proactive Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of Adalimumab Is Associated With Better Long-term Outcomes Compared With Standard of Care in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease. J Crohns Colitis 2019; 13(8): 976–981. https://doi.org/10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjz018

 Restellini S, Chao C, Lakatos PL, Aruljothy A, Aziz H, Kherad O et al. Therapeutic Drug Monitoring Guides the Management of Crohn's Patients with Secondary Loss of Response to Adalimumab. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2018; 24(7): 1531–1538. https://doi.org/10.1093/ibd/izy044

 Colombel JF, Sandborn WJ, Reinisch W, Mantzaris GJ, Kornbluth A, Rachmilewitz D et al. Infliximab, azathioprine, or combination therapy for Crohn's disease. N Engl J Med 2010; 362: 1383–1395. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0904492

8. Panaccione R, Ghosh S, Middleton S, Marquez JR, Scott BB, Flint L et al. Combination therapy with infliximab and azathioprine is superior to monotherapy with either agent in ulcerative colitis. Gastroenterology 2014; 146: 392–400 e3. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2013.10.052

Conclusion

Our data suggest that the possible synergistic effect of thiopurine and anti-TNF combination therapy is based on the decreased antibody formation in IFX-treated patients and increased anti-TNF drug concentration regardless of immunogenicity in ADA-treated patients. Similarly to previous studies, we failed to confirm/ detect a correlation between drug metabolites and different body composition parameters.

Acknowledgement

Disclosures: Károly Palatka received speaker's honoraria from Takeda, AbbVie, Janssen, Ferring. Klaudia Farkas has received speaker's honoraria from AbbVie, Janssen, Ferring, Takeda and Goodwill Pharma. Tamás Molnár has received speaker's honoraria from MSD, AbbVie, Egis, Goodwill Pharma, Takeda, Pfizer and Teva.

Grant Support: This work was supported by the research grants of the National Research, Development and Innovation Office (Grant ID: 119809, 125377 and 129266) and by the EFOP-3.6.2-16-2017-00006 and by the University of Szeged Open Access Fund (4841).

Author's contributions: conception and design of the study: Klaudia Farkas, Tamás Molnár, data collection: Kata Szántó, Anna Fábián, Renáta Bor, Anita Bálint, Mariann Rutka, Ferenc Nagy, Zoltán Szepes, Károly Palatka, Tibor Tóth, measurement of thiopurine metabolite and anti-TNF levels: Zoltán András Mezei, Diána Kata, Imre Földesi, analysis and interpretation of the data: Kata Szántó, Tibor Nyári, drafting and revision of the manuscript: Anna Fábián, Ágnes Milassin, Klaudia Farkas, Tamás Molnár, approval of the final version of the manuscript: all authors.

 Baert F, Noman M, Vermeire S, Van Assche G, D'Haens G, Carbonez A et al. Influence of immunogenicity on the long-term efficacy of infliximab in Crohn's disease. N Engl J Med 2003; 348: 601–608. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa020888

10. Best WR, Becktel JM, Singleton JW et al. Development of a Crohn's disease activity index. National Cooperative Crohn's Disease Study. Gastroenterology 1976; 70: 439–444.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-5085(76)80163-1

11. Schroeder KW et al. Coated oral 5-aminosalycilic acid therapy for mildly to moderately active ulcerative colitis. A randomized study. N Engl J Med 1987; 317: 1625. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM198712243172603

 Al Hadithy AF, de Boer NK, Derijks LJ, Escher JC, Mulder CJJ, Brouwers JRBJ. Thiopurines in inflammatory bowel disease: pharmacogenetics, therapeutic drug monitoring and clinical recommendations. Dig Liver Dis 2005; 37(4): 282–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dld.2004.09.029

13. Mazor Y, Almog R, Kopylov U, Ben Hur D, Blatt A, Dahan A et al. Adalimumab drug and antibody levels as predictors of clinical and laboratory response in patients with Crohn's disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2014; 40: 620–628. https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.12869

14. Yalchin M, Kamieniarz L, Cama R, Tribich S, Lake L, Joyce H et al. Measuring thioguanine nucleotide (6-TGN) levels and clinical response in IBD. BMJ 2018; 67: A101-A102.

 Cangemi G, Barco S, Melioli G. A validated HPLC method for the monitoring of thiopurine metabolites in whole blood in paediatric patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol 2012; 25(2): 435–44. https://doi.org/10.1177/039463201202500213

16. Lim SZ and Chua EW. Revisiting the Role of Thiopurines in Inflammatory Bowel Disease Through Pharmacogenomics and Use of Novel Methods for Therapeutic Drug Monitoring. Front Pharmacol 2018; 9: 1107. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2018.01107

17. Bradford K, Shih DQ. Optimizing 6-mercaptopurine and azathioprine therapy in the management of inflammatory bowel disease. World J Gastroenterol 2011; 17: 4166–4173. https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v17.i37.4166 The rest of the references can be found in the editorial office and on the website http://www.gastronews.hu.